



PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau


## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G06K</b>		A2	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/21683</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 22 May 1998 (22.05.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB97/01626		(81) Designated States: CA, IL, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 6 November 1997 (06.11.97)		Published Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.	
(30) Priority Data: 60/030,639 8 November 1996 (08.11.96) US Not furnished 6 November 1997 (06.11.97) US			
(71) Applicant: FINJAN SOFTWARE, LTD. [IL/IL]; 42945 Kefar-Haim (IL).			
(72) Inventor: TOUBOUL, Shlomo; 42945 Kefar-Haim (IL).			

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROTECTING A COMPUTER AND A NETWORK FROM HOSTILE DOWNLOADABLES

## (57) Abstract

A system protects a computer from suspicious Downloadables. The system comprises a security policy, an interface for receiving a Downloadable, and a comparator, coupled to the interface, for applying the security policy to the Downloadable to determine if the security policy has been violated. The Downloadable may include a Java™ applet, an ActiveX™ control, a JavaScript™ script, or a Visual Basic script. The security policy may include a default security policy to be applied regardless of the client to whom the Downloadable is addressed, or a specific security policy to be applied based on the client or the group to which the client belongs. The system uses an ID generator to compute a Downloadables ID identifying the Downloadable, preferably, by fetching all components of the Downloadable and performing a hashing function on the Downloadable including the fetched components. Further, the security policy may indicate several tests to perform, including (1) a comparison with known hostile and non-hostile Downloadables; (2) a comparison with Downloadables to be blocked or allowed per administrative override; (3) a comparison of the Downloadable security profile data against access control lists; (4) a comparison of a certificate embodied in the Downloadable against trusted certificates; and (5) a comparison of the URL from which the Downloadable originated against trusted and untrusted URLs. Based on these tests, a logical engine can determine whether to allow or block the Downloadable.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia			SG	Singapore		

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROTECTING A COMPUTER AND A NETWORK  
FROM HOSTILE DOWNLOADABLES

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates generally to computer networks, and more particularly provides a system and method for protecting a computer and a network from hostile Downloadables.

2. Description of the Background Art

10 The Internet is currently a collection of over 100,000 individual computer networks owned by governments, universities, nonprofit groups and companies, and is expanding at an accelerating rate. Because the Internet is public, the Internet has become a major source of many system damaging and system fatal application programs, commonly referred to as "viruses."

15 Accordingly, programmers continue to design computer and computer network security systems for blocking these viruses from attacking both individual and network computers. On the most part, these security systems have been relatively successful. However, these security systems are not configured to recognize computer viruses which have been attached to or configured as Downloadable application programs, commonly  
20 referred to as "Downloadables." A Downloadable is an executable application program, which is downloaded from a source computer and run on the destination computer. Downloadable is typically requested by an ongoing process such as by an Internet browser or web engine. Examples of Downloadables include Java™ applets designed for use in the Java™ distributing environment developed by Sun Microsystems, Inc., JavaScript scripts also

developed by Sun Microsystems, Inc., ActiveX™ controls designed for use in the ActiveX™ distributing environment developed by the Microsoft Corporation, and Visual Basic also developed by the Microsoft Corporation. Therefore, a system and method are needed to protect a network from hostile Downloadables.

5

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a system for protecting a network from suspicious Downloadables. The system comprises a security policy, an interface for receiving a Downloadable, and a comparator, coupled to the interface, for applying the security policy to  
10 the Downloadable to determine if the security policy has been violated. The Downloadable may include a Java™ applet, an ActiveX™ control, a JavaScript™ script, or a Visual Basic script. The security policy may include a default security policy to be applied regardless of the client to whom the Downloadable is addressed, a specific security policy to be applied based on the client or the group to which the client belongs, or a specific policy to be applied  
15 based on the client/group and on the particular Downloadable received. The system uses an ID generator to compute a Downloadable ID identifying the Downloadable, preferably, by fetching all components of the Downloadable and performing a hashing function on the Downloadable including the fetched components.

Further, the security policy may indicate several tests to perform, including (1) a  
20 comparison with known hostile and non-hostile Downloadables; (2) a comparison with Downloadables to be blocked or allowed per administrative override; (3) a comparison of the Downloadable security profile data against access control lists; (4) a comparison of a certificate embodied in the Downloadable against trusted certificates; and (5) a comparison of the URL from which the Downloadable originated against trusted and untrusted URLs.

Based on these tests, a logical engine can determine whether to allow or block the Downloadable.

The present invention further provides a method for protecting a computer from suspicious Downloadables. The method comprises the steps of receiving a Downloadable, comparing the Downloadable against a security policy to determine if the security policy has been violated, and discarding the Downloadable if the security policy has been violated.

It will be appreciated that the system and method of the present invention may provide computer protection from known hostile Downloadables. The system and method of the present invention may identify Downloadables that perform operations deemed suspicious. The system and method of the present invention may examine the Downloadable code to determine whether the code contains any suspicious operations, and thus may allow or block the Downloadable accordingly.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a network system, in accordance with the present invention:

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating details of the internal network security system of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating details of the security program and the security database of FIG. 2;

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating details of the security policies of FIG. 3;

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating details of the security management console of FIG. 1;

FIG. 6A is a flowchart illustrating a method of examining for suspicious Downloadables, in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 6B is a flowchart illustrating details of the step for finding the appropriate security policy of FIG. 6A;

5 FIG. 6C is a flowchart illustrating a method for determining whether an incoming Downloadable is to be deemed suspicious;

FIG. 7 is a flowchart illustrating details of the FIG. 6 step of decomposing a Downloadable; and

FIG. 8 is a flowchart illustrating a method 800 for generating a Downloadable ID for  
10 identifying a Downloadable.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a network system 100, in accordance with the present invention. The network system 100 includes an external computer network 105, such  
15 as the Wide Area Network (WAN) commonly referred to as the Internet, coupled via a communications channel 125 to an internal network security system 110. The network system 100 further includes an internal computer network 115, such as a corporate Local Area Network (LAN), coupled via a communications channel 130 to the internal network computer system 110 and coupled via a communications channel 135 to a security  
20 management console 120.

The internal network security system 110 examines Downloadables received from external computer network 105, and prevents Downloadables deemed suspicious from reaching the internal computer network 115. It will be further appreciated that a Downloadable is deemed suspicious if it performs or may perform any undesirable operation.

or if it threatens or may threaten the integrity of an internal computer network 115 component. It is to be understood that the term "suspicious" includes hostile, potentially hostile, undesirable, potentially undesirable, etc. Security management console 120 enables viewing, modification and configuration of the internal network security system 110.

5

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating details of the internal network security system 110, which includes a Central Processing Unit (CPU) 205, such as an Intel Pentium® microprocessor or a Motorola Power PC® microprocessor, coupled to a signal bus 220. The internal network security system 110 further includes an external communications interface 210 coupled between the communications channel 125 and the signal bus 220 for receiving Downloadables from external computer network 105, and an internal communications interface 225 coupled between the signal bus 220 and the communications channel 130 for forwarding Downloadables not deemed suspicious to the internal computer network 115. The external communications interface 210 and the internal communications interface 225 may be functional components of an integral communications interface (not shown) for both receiving Downloadables from the external computer network 105 and forwarding Downloadables to the internal computer network 115.

Internal network security system 110 further includes Input/Output (I/O) interfaces 215 (such as a keyboard, mouse and Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) display), a data storage device 230 such as a magnetic disk, and a Random-Access Memory (RAM) 235, each coupled to the signal bus 220. The data storage device 230 stores a security database 240, which includes security information for determining whether a received Downloadable is to be deemed suspicious. The data storage device 230 further stores a users list 260 identifying the users within the internal computer network 115 who may receive Downloadables, and an

event log 245 which includes determination results for each Downloadable examined and runtime indications of the internal network security system 110. An operating system 250 controls processing by CPU 205, and is typically stored in data storage device 230 and loaded into RAM 235 (as illustrated) for execution. A security program 255 controls examination of incoming Downloadables, and also may be stored in data storage device 230 and loaded into RAM 235 (as illustrated) for execution by CPU 205.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating details of the security program 255 and the security database 240. The security program 255 includes an ID generator 315, a policy finder 317 coupled to the ID generator 315, and a first comparator 320 coupled to the policy finder 317. The first comparator 320 is coupled to a logical engine 333 via four separate paths, namely, via Path 1, via Path 2, via Path 3 and via Path 4. Path 1 includes a direct connection from the first comparator 320 to the logical engine 333. Path 2 includes a code scanner coupled to the first comparator 320, and an Access Control List (ACL) comparator 330 coupling the code scanner 325 to the logical engine 333. Path 3 includes a certificate scanner 340 coupled to the first comparator 320, and a certificate comparator 345 coupling the certificate scanner 340 to the logical engine 333. Path 4 includes a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) comparator 350 coupling the first comparator 320 to the logical engine 333. A record-keeping engine 335 is coupled between the logical engine 333 and the event log 245.

The security program 255 operates in conjunction with the security database 240, which includes security policies 305, known Downloadables 307, known Certificates 309 and Downloadable Security Profile (DSP) data 310 corresponding to the known Downloadables 307. Security policies 305 includes policies specific to particular users 260



and default (or generic) policies for determining whether to allow or block an incoming Downloadable. These security policies 305 may identify specific Downloadables to block, specific Downloadables to allow, or necessary criteria for allowing an unknown Downloadable. Referring to FIG. 4, security policies 305 include policy selectors 405, 5 access control lists 410, trusted certificate lists 415, URL rule bases 420, and lists 425 of Downloadables to allow or to block per administrative override.

Known Downloadables 307 include lists of Downloadables which Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) know to be hostile, of Downloadables which OEMs know to be non-hostile, and of Downloadables previously received by this security program 10 255. DSP data 310 includes the list of all potentially hostile or suspicious computer operations that may be attempted by each known Downloadable 307, and may also include the respective arguments of these operations. An identified argument of an operation is referred to as "resolved." An unidentified argument is referred to as "unresolved." DSP data 310 is described below with reference to the code scanner 325.

15 The ID generator 315 receives a Downloadable (including the URL from which it came and the userID of the intended recipient) from the external computer network 105 via the external communications interface 210, and generates a Downloadable ID for identifying each Downloadable. The Downloadable ID preferably includes a digital hash of the complete Downloadable code. The ID generator 315 preferably prefetches all components 20 embodied in or identified by the code for Downloadable ID generation. For example, the ID generator 315 may prefetch all classes embodied in or identified by the Java™ applet bytecode to generate the Downloadable ID. Similarly, the ID generator 315 may retrieve all components listed in the .INF file for an ActiveX™ control to compute a Downloadable ID. Accordingly, the Downloadable ID for the Downloadable will be the same each time the ID

generator 315 receives the same Downloadable. The ID generator 315 adds the generated Downloadable ID to the list of known Downloadables 307 (if it is not already listed). The ID generator 315 then forwards the Downloadable and Downloadable ID to the policy finder 317.

5       The policy finder 317 uses the userID of the intended user and the Downloadable ID to select the specific security policy 305 that shall be applied on the received Downloadable. If there is a specific policy 305 that was defined for the user (or for one of its super groups) and the Downloadable, then the policy is selected. Otherwise the generic policy 305 that was defined for the user (or for one of its super groups) is selected. The policy finder 317  
10       then sends the policy to the first comparator 320.

      The first comparator 320 receives the Downloadable, the Downloadable ID and the security policy 305 from the policy finder 317. The first comparator 320 examines the security policy 305 to determine which steps are needed for allowing the Downloadable. For example, the security policy 305 may indicate that, in order to allow this Downloadable, it  
15       must pass all four paths. Path 1, Path 2, Path 3 and Path 4. Alternatively, the security policy 305 may indicate that to allow the Downloadable, the it must pass only one of the paths. The first comparator 320 responds by forwarding the proper information to the paths identified by the security policy 305.

20       Path 1

      In path 1, the first comparator 320 checks the policy selector 405 of the security policy 305 that was received from the policy finder 317. If the policy selector 405 is either "Allowed" or "Blocked," then the first comparator 320 forwards this result directly to the logical engine 333. Otherwise, the first comparator 320 invokes the comparisons in path2

and/or path 3 and/or path 4 based on the contents of policy selector 405. It will be appreciated that the first comparator 320 itself compares the Downloadable ID against the lists of Downloadables to allow or block per administrative override 425. That is, the system security administrator can define specific Downloadables as "Allowed" or "Blocked."

5           Alternatively, the logical engine 333 may receive the results of each of the paths and based on the policy selector 405 may institute the final determination whether to allow or block the Downloadable. The first comparator 320 informs the logical engine 333 of the results of its comparison.

10   Path 2

In path 2, the first comparator 320 delivers the Downloadable, the Downloadable ID, and the security policy 305 to the code scanner 325. If the DSP data 310 of the received Downloadable is known, the code scanner 325 retrieves and forwards the information to the ACL comparator 330. Otherwise, the code scanner 325 resolves the DSP data 310. That is, 15 the code scanner 325 uses conventional parsing techniques to decompose the code (including all prefetched components) of the Downloadable into the DSP data 310. DSP data 310 includes the list of all potentially hostile or suspicious computer operations that may be attempted by a specific Downloadable 307, and may also include the respective arguments of these operations. For example, DSP data 310 may include a READ from a specific file, a 20 SEND to an unresolved host, etc. The code scanner 325 may generate the DSP data 310 as a list of all operations in the Downloadable code which could ever be deemed potentially hostile and a list of all files to be accessed by the Downloadable code. It will be appreciated that the code scanner 325 may search the code for any pattern, which is undesirable or suggests that the code was written by a hacker.

An Example List of Operations Deemed Potentially Hostile

- File operations: READ a file, WRITE a file;
- Network operations: LISTEN on a socket, CONNECT to a socket. SEND data.  
5 RECEIVE data, VIEW INTRANET;
- Registry operations: READ a registry item, WRITE a registry item;
- Operating system operations: EXIT WINDOWS, EXIT BROWSER, START  
PROCESS/THREAD, KILL PROCESS/THREAD, CHANGE PROCESS/THREAD  
PRIORITY, DYNAMICALLY LOAD A CLASS/LIBRARY, etc.; and  
10 • Resource usage thresholds: memory, CPU, graphics, etc.

In the preferred embodiment, the code scanner 325 performs a full-content inspection. However, for improved speed but reduced security, the code scanner 325 may examine only a portion of the Downloadable such as the Downloadable header. The code scanner 325 then  
15 stores the DSP data into DSP data 310 (corresponding to its Downloadable ID), and sends the Downloadable, the DSP data to the ACL comparator 330 for comparison with the security policy 305.

The ACL comparator 330 receives the Downloadable, the corresponding DSP data and the security policy 305 from the code scanner 325, and compares the DSP data against  
20 the security policy 305. That is, the ACL comparator 330 compares the DSP data of the received Downloadable against the access control lists 410 in the received security policy 305. The access control list 410 contains criteria indicating whether to pass or fail the Downloadable. For example, an access control list may indicate that the Downloadable fails

if the DSP data includes a WRITE command to a system file. The ACL comparator 330 sends its results to the logical engine 333.

Path 3:

5 In path 3, the certificate scanner 340 determines whether the received Downloadable was signed by a certificate authority, such as VeriSign, Inc., and scans for a certificate embodied in the Downloadable. The certificate scanner 340 forwards the found certificate to the certificate comparator 345. The certificate comparator 345 retrieves known certificates 309 that were deemed trustworthy by the security administrator and compares the found  
10 certificate with the known certificates 309 to determine whether the Downloadable was signed by a trusted certificate. The certificate comparator 345 sends the results to the logical engine 333.

Path 4:

15 In path 4, the URL comparator 350 examines the URL identifying the source of the Downloadable against URLs stored in the URL rule base 420 to determine whether the Downloadable comes from a trusted source. Based on the security policy 305, the URL comparator 350 may deem the Downloadable suspicious if the Downloadable comes from an untrustworthy source or if the Downloadable did not come from a trusted source. For  
20 example, if the Downloadable comes from a known hacker, then the Downloadable may be deemed suspicious and presumed hostile. The URL comparator 350 sends its results to the logical engine 333.

The logical engine 333 examines the results of each of the paths and the policy selector 405 in the security policy 305 to determine whether to allow or block the Downloadable. The policy selector 405 includes a logical expression of the results received from each of the paths. For example, the logical engine 333 may block a Downloadable if it fails any one of the paths, i.e., if the Downloadable is known hostile (Path 1), if the Downloadable may request suspicious operations (Path 2), if the Downloadable was not signed by a trusted certificate authority (Path 3), or if the Downloadable did come from an untrustworthy source (Path 4). The logical engine 333 may apply other logical expressions according to the policy selector 405 embodied in the security policy 305. If the policy selector 405 indicates that the Downloadable may pass, then the logical engine 333 passes the Downloadable to its intended recipient. Otherwise, if the policy selector 405 indicates that the Downloadable should be blocked, then the logical engine 333 forwards a non-hostile Downloadable to the intended recipient to inform the user that internal network security system 110 discarded the original Downloadable. Further, the logical engine 333 forwards a status report to the record-keeping engine 335, which stores the reports in event log 245 in the data storage device 230 for subsequent review, for example, by the MIS director.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating details of the security management console 120, which includes a security policy editor 505 coupled to the communications channel 135, an event log analysis engine 510 coupled between communications channel 135 and a user notification engine 515, and a Downloadable database review engine 520 coupled to the communications channel 135. The security management console 120 further includes computer components similar to the computer components illustrated in FIG. 2.

The security policy editor 505 uses an I/O interface similar to I/O interface 215 for enabling authorized user modification of the security policies 305. That is, the security policy editor 505 enables the authorized user to modify specific security policies 305 corresponding to the users 260, the default or generic security policy 305, the Downloadables to block per administrative override, the Downloadables to allow per administrative override, the trusted certificate lists 415, the policy selectors 405, the access control lists 410, the URLs in the URL rule bases 420, etc. For example, if the authorized user learns of a new hostile Downloadable, then the user can add the Downloadable to the Downloadables to block per system override.

The event log analysis engine 510 examines the status reports contained in the event log 245 stored in the data storage device 230. The event log analysis engine 510 determines whether notification of the user (e.g., the security system manager or MIS director) is warranted. For example, the event log analysis engine 510 may warrant user notification whenever ten (10) suspicious Downloadables have been discarded by internal network security system 110 within a thirty (30) minute period, thereby flagging a potential imminent security threat. Accordingly, the event log analysis engine 510 instructs the user notification engine 515 to inform the user. The user notification engine 515 may send an e-mail via internal communications interface 220 or via external communications interface 210 to the user, or may display a message on the user's display device (not shown).

FIG. 6A is a flowchart illustrating a method 600 for protecting an internal computer network 115 from suspicious Downloadables. Method 600 begins with the ID generator 315 in step 602 receiving a Downloadable. The ID generator 315 in step 604 generates a Downloadable ID identifying the received Downloadable, preferably, by generating a digital

hash of the Downloadable code (including prefetched components). The policy finder 317 in step 606 finds the appropriate security policy 305 corresponding to the userID specifying intended recipient (or the group to which the intended recipient belongs) and the Downloadable. The selected security policy 305 may be the default security policy 305.

5 Step 606 is described in greater detail below with reference to FIG. 6B.

The first comparator 320 in step 608 examines the lists of Downloadables to allow or to block per administrative override 425 against the Downloadable ID of the incoming Downloadable to determine whether to allow the Downloadable automatically. If so, then in step 612 the first comparator 320 sends the results to the logical engine 333. If not, then the  
10 method 600 proceeds to step 610. In step 610, the first comparator 620 examines the lists of Downloadables to block per administrative override 425 against the Downloadable ID of the incoming Downloadable for determining whether to block the Downloadable automatically. If so, then the first comparator 420 in step 612 sends the results to the logical engine 333. Otherwise, method 600 proceeds to step 614.

15 In step 614, the first comparator 320 determines whether the security policy 305 indicates that the Downloadable should be tested according to Path 4. If not, then method 600 jumps to step 618. If so, then the URL comparator 350 in step 616 compares the URL embodied in the incoming Downloadable against the URLs of the URL rules bases 420, and then method 600 proceeds to step 618.

20 In step 618, the first comparator 320 determines whether the security policy 305 indicates that the Downloadable should be tested according to Path 2. If not, then method 600 jumps to step 620. Otherwise, the code scanner 235 in step 626 examines the DSP data 310 based on the Downloadable ID of the incoming Downloadable to determine whether the Downloadable has been previously decomposed. If so, then method 600 jumps to step 630.



Otherwise, the code scanner 325 in step 628 decomposes the Downloadable into DSP data. Downloadable decomposition is described in greater detail with reference to FIG. 7. In step 630, the ACL comparator 330 compares the DSP data of the incoming Downloadable against the access control lists 410 (which include the criteria necessary for the Downloadable to fail or pass the test).

In step 620, the first comparator 320 determines whether the security policy 305 indicates that the Downloadable should be tested according to Path 3. If not, then method 600 returns to step 612 to send the results of each of the test performed to the logical engine 333. Otherwise, the certificate scanner 622 in step 622 scans the Downloadable for an embodied certificate. The certificate comparator 345 in step 624 retrieves trusted certificates from the trusted certificate lists (TCL) 415 and compares the embodied certificate with the trusted certificates to determine whether the Downloadable has been signed by a trusted source. Method 600 then proceeds to step 612 by the certificate scanner 345 sending the results of each of the paths taken to the logical engine 333. The operations of the logical engine 333 are described in greater detail below with reference to FIG. 6C. Method 600 then ends.

One skilled in the art will recognize that the tests may be performed in a different order, and that each of the tests need not be performed. Further, one skilled in the art will recognize that, although path 1 is described in FIG. 6A as an automatic allowance or blocking, the results of Path 1 may be another predicate to be applied by the logical engine 333. Further, although the tests are shown serially in FIG. 6A, the tests may be performed in parallel as illustrated in FIG. 3.

FIG. 6B is a flowchart illustrating details of step 606 of FIG. 6A (referred to herein as method 606). Method 606 begins with the policy finder 317 in step 650 determining whether security policies 305 include a specific security policy corresponding to the userID and the Downloadable. If so, then the policy finder 317 in step 654 fetches the  
5 corresponding specific policy 305. If not, then the policy finder 317 in step 652 fetches the default or generic security policy 305 corresponding to the userID. Method 606 then ends.

FIG. 6C is a flowchart illustrating details of a method 655 for determining whether to allow or to block the incoming Downloadable. Method 655 begins with the logical engine  
10 333 in step 660 receiving the results from the first comparator 320, from the ACL comparator 330, from the certificate comparator 345 and from the URL comparator 350. The logical engine 333 in step 662 compares the results with the policy selector 405 embodied in the security policy 305, and in step 664 determines whether the policy selector 405 confirms the pass. For example, the policy selector 405 may indicate that the logical  
15 engine 333 pass the Downloadable if it passes one of the tests of Path 1, Path 2, Path 3 and Path 4. If the policy selector 405 indicates that the Downloadable should pass, then the logical engine 333 in step 666 passes the Downloadable to the intended recipient. In step 668, the logical engine 333 sends the results to the record-keeping engine 335, which in turn stores the results in the event log 245 for future review. Method 655 then ends. Otherwise,  
20 if the policy selector 405 in step 664 indicates that the Downloadable should not pass, then the logical engine 333 in step 670 stops the Downloadable and in step 672 sends a non-hostile substitute Downloadable to inform the user that the incoming Downloadable has been blocked. Method 655 then jumps to step 668.

FIG. 7 is a flowchart illustrating details of step 628 of FIG. 6A (referred to herein as method 628) for decomposing a Downloadable into DSP data 310. Method 628 begins in step 705 with the code scanner 325 disassembling the machine code of the Downloadable. The code scanner 325 in step 710 resolves a respective command in the machine code, and in  
5 step 715 determines whether the resolved command is suspicious (e.g., whether the command is one of the operations identified in the list described above with reference to FIG. 3). If not, then the code scanner 325 in step 725 determines whether it has completed decomposition of the Downloadable, i.e., whether all operations in the Downloadable code have been resolved. If so, then method 628 ends. Otherwise, method 628 returns to step  
10 710.

Otherwise, if the code scanner 325 in step 715 determines that the resolved command is suspect, then the code scanner 325 in step 720 decodes and registers the suspicious command and its command parameters as DSP data 310. The code scanner 325 in step 720 registers the commands and command parameters into a format based on command class  
15 (e.g., file operations, network operations, registry operations, operating system operations, resource usage thresholds). Method 628 then jumps to step 725.

FIG. 8 is a flowchart illustrating a method 800 for generating a Downloadable ID for identifying a Downloadable. Method 800 begins with the ID generator 315 in step 810  
20 receiving a Downloadable from the external computer network 105. The ID generator 315 in step 820 may fetch some or all components referenced in the Downloadable code, and in step 830 includes the fetched components in the Downloadable code. The ID generator 315 in step 840 performs a hashing function on at least a portion of the Downloadable code to generate a Downloadable ID. The ID generator 315 in step 850 stores the generated

Downloadable ID in the security database 240 as a reference to the DSP data 310. Accordingly, the Downloadable ID will be the same for the identical Downloadable each time it is encountered.

5           The foregoing description of the preferred embodiments of the invention is by way of example only, and other variations of the above-described embodiments and methods are provided by the present invention. For example, although the invention has been described in a system for protecting an internal computer network, the invention can be embodied in a system for protecting an individual computer. Components of this invention may be  
10 implemented using a programmed general purpose digital computer, using application specific integrated circuits, or using a network of interconnected conventional components and circuits. The embodiments described herein have been presented for purposes of illustration and are not intended to be exhaustive or limiting. Many variations and modifications are possible in light of the foregoing teaching. The system is limited only by  
15 the following claims.

1 WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

2

- 3 1. A computer-based method, comprising the steps of:  
4 receiving a Downloadable;  
5 comparing the Downloadable against a security policy to determine if the  
6 security policy has been violated; and  
7 discarding the Downloadable if the security policy has been violated.

8

- 9 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of decomposing the  
10 Downloadable into Downloadable security profile data, and comparing the  
11 Downloadable security profile data against the security policy.

12

- 13 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of scanning for a  
14 certificate and comparing the certificate against a trusted certificate.

15

- 16 4. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of comparing the URL  
17 from which the Downloadable originated against a known URL.

18

- 19 5. The method of claim 4, wherein the known URL is a trusted URL.

20

- 21 6. The method of claim 4, wherein the known URL is an untrusted URL.

22

- 23 7. The method of claim 1, wherein the Downloadable includes a Java™ applet.

24

25 8. The method of claim 1, wherein the Downloadable includes an ActiveX™  
26 control.

27

28 9. The method of claim 1, wherein the Downloadable includes a JavaScript™  
29 script.

30

31 10. The method of claim 1, wherein the Downloadable includes a Visual Basic  
32 script.

33

34 11. The method of claim 1, wherein  
35 the Downloadable is addressed to a client; and  
36 the security policy includes a default security policy to be applied regardless of  
37 the client to whom the Downloadable is addressed.

38

39 12. The method of claim 1, wherein  
40 the Downloadable is addressed to a client; and  
41 the security policy includes a specific security policy to be applied if the  
42 Downloadable is addressed to the client.

43

44 13. The method of claim 1, wherein  
45 the Downloadable is addressed to a client belonging to a group; and  
46 the security policy includes a specific security policy to be applied if the client  
47 belongs to a particular group.

48

49 14. The method of claim 1,  
50 wherein the Downloadable is addressed to a client; and  
51 further comprising, after discarding the Downloadable, the step of sending a  
52 substitute non-hostile Downloadable to the client for informing the client.

53

54 15. The method of claim 1, further comprising, after discarding the Downloadable,  
55 the step of recording the violation in an event log.

56

57 16. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of computing a  
58 Downloadable ID to identify the Downloadable.

59

60 17. The method of claim 16, further comprising the steps of fetching components  
61 identified by the Downloadable and including the fetched components in the  
62 Downloadable.

63

64 18. The method of claim 17, further comprising the step of performing a hashing  
65 function on the Downloadable.

66

67 19. The method of claim 17, further comprising the step of fetching all  
68 components identified by the Downloadable.

69

70 20. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of examining the intended  
71 recipient userID to determine the appropriate security policy.

72

73 21. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of examining the  
74 Downloadable to determine the appropriate security policy.

75

76 22. The method of claim 20, wherein the appropriate security policy includes the  
77 default security policy.

78

79 23. The method of claim 26, further comprising the step of including a previously  
80 received Downloadable as a known Downloadable.

81

82 24. The method of claim 23, wherein the security policy identifies a  
83 Downloadable to be blocked per administrative override.

84

85 25. The method of claim 23, wherein the security policy identifies a  
86 Downloadable to be allowed per administrative override.

87

88 26. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of comparing the  
89 Downloadable against a known Downloadable.

90

91 27. The method of claim 26, wherein the known Downloadable is hostile.

92

93 28. The method of claim 26, wherein the known Downloadable is non-hostile.

94



95 29. The method of claim 2, wherein the security policy includes an access control  
96 list and further comprising the step of comparing the Downloadable security profile  
97 data against the access control list.

98

99 30. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of informing a user upon  
100 detection of a security policy violation.

101

102 31. A system, comprising:

103 a security policy;

104 an interface for receiving a Downloadable; and

105 a comparator, coupled to the interface, for applying the security policy to the

106 Downloadable to determine if the security policy has been violated.

107

108 32. The system of claim 31, wherein the Downloadable includes a Java™ applet.

109

110 33. The system of claim 31, wherein the Downloadable includes ActiveX™

111 control.

112

113 34. The system of claim 31, wherein the Downloadable includes a JavaScript™

114 script.

115

116 35. The system of claim 31, wherein the Downloadable includes a Visual Basic

117 script.

118

119 36. The system of claim 31, wherein  
120 the Downloadable is addressed to a client; and  
121 the security policy includes a default security policy to be applied regardless of  
122 the client to whom the Downloadable is addressed.

123

124 37. The system of claim 31, wherein  
125 the Downloadable is addressed to a client; and  
126 the security policy includes a specific security policy to be applied if the  
127 Downloadable is addressed to the client.

128

129 38. The system of claim 31, wherein  
130 the Downloadable is addressed to a client belonging to a group; and  
131 the security policy includes a specific security policy to be applied if the client  
132 belongs to a particular group.

133

134 39. The system of claim 31, further comprising an ID generator coupled to the  
135 interface for computing a Downloadable ID identifying the Downloadable.

136

137 40. The system of claim 39, wherein the ID generator prefetches all components of  
138 the Downloadable and uses all components to compute the Downloadable ID.

139

140 ~~41. The system of claim 40, wherein the ID generator computes the digital hash of~~  
141 all the prefetched components.

142

143 42. The system of claim 31, further comprising a policy finder for finding the  
144 security policy.

145

146 43. The system of claim 42, wherein the policy finder finds the security policy  
147 based on the user.

148

149 44. The system of claim 42 wherein the policy finder finds the security policy  
150 based on the user and the Downloadable.

151

152 45. The system of claim 42, wherein the policy finder obtains the default security  
153 policy.

154

155 46. The system of claim 31 wherein the comparator examines the security policy  
156 to determine which tests to apply.

157

158 47. The system of claim 46 wherein the comparator compares the Downloadable  
159 against a known Downloadable.

160

161 48. The system of claim 47, wherein the known Downloadable is hostile.

162

163 49. The system of claim 47, wherein the known Downloadable is non-hostile.

164

165 50. The system of claim 31, wherein the security policy identifies a Downloadable  
166 to be blocked per administrative override.

167

168 51. The system of claim 31, wherein the security policy identifies a Downloadable  
169 to be allowed per administrative override.

170

171 52. The system of claim 31, wherein  
172 the Downloadable is addressed to a client; and  
173 the comparator sends a substitute non-hostile Downloadable to the client for  
174 informing the client.

175

176 53. The system of claim 31, further comprising a code scanner coupled to the  
177 comparator for decomposing the Downloadable into Downloadable security profile  
178 data.

179

180 54. The system of claim 53, further comprising an ACL comparator coupled to the  
181 code scanner for comparing the Downloadable security profile data against an access  
182 control list.

183

184 55. The system of claim 31, further comprising a certificate scanner coupled to the  
185 comparator for examining the Downloadable for a certificate.

186

187 56. The system of claim 55, further comprising a certificate comparator coupled to

188 ~~the certificate scanner for comparing the certificate against a trusted certificate.~~

189

190 57. The system of claim 31, further comprising a URL comparator coupled to the  
191 comparator for comparing the URL from which the Downloadable originated against  
192 a known URL.

193

194 58. The system of claim 57, wherein the known URL identifies an untrusted URL.

195

196 59. The system of claim 57, wherein the known URL identifies a trusted URL.

197

198 60. The system of claim 31, further comprising a logical engine for responding to  
199 the results of the comparison.

200

201 61. The system of claim 31, wherein the logical engine responds according to the  
202 security policy.

203

204 62. The system of claim 31, further comprising a record-keeping engine coupled  
205 to the comparator for recording results in an event log.

206

207 63. A system, comprising:

208 means for receiving a Downloadable;

209 means for comparing the Downloadable against a security policy to determine  
210 if the security policy has been violated; and

211 means for discarding the Downloadable if the security policy has been  
212 violated.

213

214 64. A computer-readable storage medium storing program code for causing a  
215 computer to perform the steps of:

216 receiving a Downloadable;

217 comparing the Downloadable against a security policy to determine if the  
218 security policy has been violated; and

219 discarding the Downloadable if the security policy has been violated.

220

221 65. A computer-based method for generating a Downloadable ID to identify a  
222 Downloadable, comprising the steps of:

223 selecting Downloadable code;

224 performing a function on the selected Downloadable code to generate the

225 Downloadable ID; and

226 storing the Downloadable ID.

227

228 66. The method of claim 65, wherein the function includes a hashing function.

67. The method of claim 65, wherein the Downloadable code includes a reference to a Downloadable component, and further comprising the step of fetching the component.

5

68. The method of claim 67, wherein the component includes the first component referenced by the Downloadable code.

69. The method of claim 65, wherein the selected Downloadable code includes all  
10 of the code included in and identified by the Downloadable.

70. The method of claim 67, further comprising the step of fetching all components referenced by the Downloadable.





FIG. 1








FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 6C




FIG. 7






FIG. 8

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**


---



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G06F 19/00, 15/18, 9/44</b>		<b>A3</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/21683</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 22 May 1998 (22.05.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB97/01626		(81) Designated States: CA, IL, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 6 November 1997 (06.11.97)			
(30) Priority Data: 60/030,639 8 November 1996 (08.11.96) US Not furnished 6 November 1997 (06.11.97) US		<b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(71) Applicant: FINJAN SOFTWARE, LTD. [IL/IL]; 42945 Kefar-Haim (IL).		(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 9 July 1998 (09.07.98)	
(72) Inventor: TOUBOUL, Shlomo; 42945 Kefar-Haim (IL).			

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROTECTING A COMPUTER AND A NETWORK FROM HOSTILE DOWNLOADABLES



## (57) Abstract

A system protects a computer from suspicious Downloadables (307). The system comprises a security policy (305), an interface for receiving a Downloadable, and a comparator (320), coupled to the interface, for applying the security policy to the Downloadable to determine if the security policy has been violated. The Downloadable (307) may include a Java™ applet, an Active™ control, a JavaScript™ script, or a Visual Basic Script. The security policy (305) may include a default security policy to be applied regardless of the client to whom the Downloadable (307) is addressed, or a specific security policy to be applied based on the client or the group to which the client belongs. The system uses an ID generator to compute a Downloadable (307) ID identifying the Downloadable (307), preferably, by fetching all components of the Downloadable (307) and performing a hashing function on the Downloadable (307) including the fetched components.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/IB97/01626

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G06F 19/00, 15/18, 9/44

US CL : 395/187.01, 186, 188.01, 200.48, 200.59, 10

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 395/187.01, 186, 188.01, 200.48, 200.59, 10

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
NONE

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
NONE

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	US 5,572,643 A (JUDSON) 05 November 1996, col. 2, lines 12-53, col. 3, lines 48-67, col. 4, lines 5-51, col. 7, lines 1-13	1-70
X	US 5,077,677 A (MURPHY ET AL) 31 December 1991, COL. 2, LINES 60-66, COL. 19, LINES 8-16	10, 35
X,E	US 5,692,047 A (MCMANIS) 25 November 1997, col. 3, lines 14-29.	66

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 25 MARCH 1998	Date of mailing of the international search report 14 MAY 1998
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer PIERRE EDDY ELISCA Jow Hill Telephone No. (703) 305-3987

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**

---